

Fifth Paris Appeal Colloquium

A FOCUS ON ELECTROMAGNETIC FIELD, ELECTROHYPERSENSITIVITY AND METALS

May 18-19 2015,
Académie Royale de Médecine de Belgique,
Belgium Royal Academy of Medicine,
Brussels, Belgium



EHS the tip of the iceberg?

Intolerance: Occurrence of multiple clinical and biological symptoms under the influence of EMF exposure. Normal tolerance threshold. But organic responses in the form of numerous pathological consequences?


Susceptibility: Increase in clinical and biological intolerance and sensitivity to EMFs caused by innate (genetic) and/or acquired (epigenetic) inherited factors.

Hypersensitivity: Decrease in the tolerance threshold and extension of intolerance to the whole EMF frequency spectrum

Estimated prevalence of electrosensitive people in different years and countries

Measured year	% EI sensitive	Country, reported year	Ref. No.
1985	0.06	Sweden 1991 (0.025–0.125%)	National Encyclopedia Sw., 1991
1994	0.63	Sweden 1995	Anonymous est., 1994
1995	1.50	Austria 1995	Leitgeb N. et al., 1995, 2005
1996	1.50	Sweden 1998	SNBHW, Env. report, 1998
1997	2.00	Austria 1998	Leitgeb N. et al., 1998, 2005
1997	1.50	Sweden 1999	Hillert L. et al., 2002
1998	3.20	California 2002	Levallois P., 2002
1999	3.10	Sweden 2001	SNBHW, Env. report, 2001
2000	3.20	Sweden 2003	Sw Labour Union Sif, 2003
2001	6.00	Germany 2002	Schroeder E., 2002
2002	13.30	Austria 2003 (7.6–19%)	Spiß B., 2003
2003	8.00	Germany 2003	Infas, 2003
2003	9.00	Sweden 2004	Elöverkänsligas Riksförbund, 2005
2003	5.00	Schweiz 2005	Bern, Medicine Social, 2005
2003	5.00	Ireland 2005	This is London, 2005
2004	11.00	England 2004	Fox E., 2004
2004	9.00	Germany 2005	Infas, 2004
2017	50.00	Extrapolated to 50%	

The prevalence (%) of people around the world who consider themselves to be electrosensitive, plotted over time in a normal distribution graph.



The endpoint at 50 % is an extrapolation value. Variation explained is 91%, the endpoint not include.